1		HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
7	AT TACOMA	
8	JESUS RAMIREZ-LUCIO,	CASE NO. C13-5118 RBL
9	Petitioner,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
10	v.	CERTIFICATE OF APPEAL
11 12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
13	Respondent.	
14	THIS MATTER is before the Court on Petitioner Ramirez-Lucio's Motion for a	
15	Certificate of Appealability [Dkt. #21] regarding this Court's denial of his §2255 petition [Dkt.	
16	 #19].	-
17	The district court should grant an application for a Certificate of Appealability only if the	
18	petitioner makes a "substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. §	
19	2253(c)(3). To obtain a Certificate of Appealability under 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c), a habeas	
20	petitioner must make a showing that reasonable jurists could debate whether, or agree that, the	
21	petition should have been resolved in a different manner or that the issues presented were	
22	adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further. Slack v. McDaniel, 120 S.Ct. 1595,	
23	1603-04 (2000) (quoting Barefoot v. Estelle, 463 U.S. 880, 893 n.4 (1983)).	
24		

Petitioner's primary claim is that his counsel was ineffective. For the reasons articulated in this Court's prior Order, those claims are not viable. Petitioner has not made a "substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." His Motion for a Certificate of Appealability is therefore DENIED. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated this 10th day of June, 2014. RONALD B. LEIGHTON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE